

1. 59 Mont. L. Rev. 113 Montana Law Review Winter, 1998 Comment SETTLEMENT OR RELEASE UNDER MONTANA'S MULTIPLE DEFENDANT STATUTE Solomon Neuhardt [FN1]
...from David Randolph Smith & John W. Wade, Fairness: A Comparative Analysis of the Indiana and Uniform Comparative Fault Acts, **17 IND. L. REV. 969**, 983 (1984). [FN122]. UNIF. COMPARATIVE FAULT ACT, § 6, 12 U.L.A. at 147. [FN123]. See Smith & Wade, *supra* note...
2. 65 Miss. L.J. 29 Mississippi Law Journal Fall, 1995 Tribute to Dean John Wade DEAN JOHN WADE AND THE LAW OF TORTS Gary Myers [FN1]
...also David R. Smith & John W. Wade, Fairness: A Comparative Analysis of the Indiana and Uniform Comparative Fault Acts, **17 IND. L. REV. 969**, 973-96 (1984) (discussing balancing approach of Uniform Act); John W. Wade, On Product "Design Defects" and Their Actionability, 33 VAND....
3. 30 Am. Bus. L.J. 677 Amercian Business Law Journal February, 1993 COMPARATIVE CONTRIBUTION AND INTENTIONAL TORTS: A REMAINING ROADBLICK TO DAMAGES APPORTIONMENT Jordan H. Leibman [FN1]
...also David Randolph Smith & John W. Wade, Fairness: A Comparative Analysis of the Indiana and Uniform Comparative Fault Acts, **17 IND. L. REV. 969**, 973-82 (1984) (comparing Indiana statute which considers the fault of absent parties and abrogates joint and several liability with the...
4. 55 Brook L. Rev. 869 Brooklyn Law Review Fall, 1989 Symposium: The Problem of Indeterminate Defendants: Market Share and Non-Market Share Liability [FN1] MARKET SHARE -- A TALE OF TWO CENTURIES Aaron D. Twerski [FN2]
...REV. 1125 (1989). [FN14] See Wade & Smith, Fairness: A Comparative Analysis of the Indiana and Uniform Comparative Fault Acts, **17 IND. L. REV. 969**, 963-76 (1984). [FN15] 44 Cal. 3d 1049, 1072-76, 751 P.2d 470, 485-87, 245 Cal. Rptr. ...
5. 34 Emory L.J. 65 Emory Law Journal Winter, 1985 "PURE" vs. "MODIFIED" COMPARATIVE FAULT: NOTES ON THE DEBATE David C. Sobelsohn [FN1]
...6, at 385. But see Smith & Wade, Fairness: A Comparative Analysis of the Indiana and Uniform Comparative Fault Acts, **17 IND. L. REV. 969**, 991 (1984) (courts should hold last clear chance abolished by modified comparative fault statute). [FN44] Cf. Vlach v. Wyman, 78...